

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/584,366		HORINOCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst		1797	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Kathryn Piffat (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 7 February 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 6 to recite a comparison of the ratio to a standard ratio in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment,

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)